

## REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Claims 1-5, 7-17, 19, and 20 are rejected and claims 6 and 18 are objected to. By this Amendment, claims 6 and 18 are cancelled and claims 1, 10, and 14 are amended. Accordingly, claims 1-5, 7-17, 19, and 20 remain in the application and are presented for review and further consideration by the Examiner.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-5, 7-17 and 19-20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Brais, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,995,936, in view of Lai, et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,006,183 (Examiner's Action, page 2). The Examiner further states that claims 6 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims (Examiner's Action, page 3).

In response, Applicants have amended independent claims 1, 10, and 14 to include the limitations of claims 6 and 18.

As the Examiner has indicated, neither Brais nor Lei teaches combining the text accumulated in the text buffer with a form template and translating them into a rendered document.

In contrast, Applicants' independent claims 1, 10, and 14 include wording that the electronic text accumulated in the text buffer is combining with a form template and the electronic with the form template are translated into a rendered document. Neither Brais nor Lei discloses such limitations.

In view of Applicants' arguments and amendments with respect to independent claims 1, 10, 14 and being allowable, Applicants respectfully submits that the remaining dependent claims are also allowable because they contain all of the limitations of their respective independent claims and further add structural and functional limitations.

The foregoing amendments and arguments are believed to be a complete response to the most recent Examiner's Action.


No new matter has been added.

It is respectfully submitted that there is no claim, teaching, motivation, or suggestion in any of the prior art cited, alone or in combination, to produce what Applicants claim.

It is further submitted that the application, as amended, defines patentable subject matter and that the claims are in a condition for allowance. Such allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

Should any issues remain which would preclude the prompt disposition of this case, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned practitioner by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,  
Corey J. Norris and  
Steve R. Wiley

By   
Mark G. Pannell  
Reg. No. 40,761

Date 05/26/2005  
(719) 260-7900